LINKING SHYNESS TO SOCIAL ANXIETY IN CHILDREN THROUGH THE CLARK AND WELLS COGNITIVE MODEL

Stephanos P. Vassilopoulos¹, Andreas Brouzos², Nicholas J. Moberly³, & Maria Spyropoulou¹

¹University of Patras, Greece, ²University of Ioannina, Greece ³University of Exeter, Exeter, UK

Abstract: Past research has shown that cognitive biases partially mediate the relation between shyness and social anxiety. In addition, it has been shown that the Clark and Wells (1995) cognitive model of social anxiety generalizes to youth. This study investigated the mediating role of the model in the link between shyness and social anxiety. Participants were 306 preadolescents, who completed measures of shyness, social anxiety, and cognitive variables implicated by the model (anticipatory processing, post-event processing, and social attitudes). The results confirmed that shyness, social anxiety and maladaptive cognitive processes were intercorrelated. Further, in a multiple mediator model, social attitudes, but not anticipatory or post-event processing, partially mediated the relation between shyness and social anxiety. Implications for school prevention interventions are briefly discussed.

Key words: Clark and Wells model, Cognitive bias, Preadolescence, Shyness, Social anxiety

Address: Stephanos P. Vassilopoulos, Department of Primary Education, University of Patras, Patras, 26 110, Greece. Tel.: +30-2610-969742. E-mail: stephanosv@upatras.gr

2

INTRODUCTION

Social anxiety, a recurrent and intense anxious response to social or performance situations in which evaluation from other people may occur (American Psychiatric Association, 2013), ranges from low to high and includes its clinical form, social anxiety disorder (SAD – Rapee & Spence, 2004). Severe and chronic forms of social anxiety have their onset in mid-adolescence (with a median age of onset of 13 years; Kessler et al., 2005), and if left untreated or improperly treated, they can cause debilitating distress and academic, professional and/or social dysfunction or lead to other mental disorders such as depression (Kearney, 2006; Stein et al., 2001). This is one of the reasons social anxiety commonly co-occurs with a range of mood and anxiety disorders, most commonly with generalized anxiety disorder, major depression and avoidant personality disorder (Chartier, Walker, & Stein, 2003; Szafranski, Talkovsky, Farris, & Norton, 2014).

The Clark and Wells cognitive model of social anxiety

According to the Clark and Wells (1995) model, one of the most influential information-processing models of social anxiety so far, socially anxious individuals develop a series of problematic assumptions about themselves and their social world, on the basis of early experience (e.g., "I must always have something interesting to say"; "If people see me shake they'll think I am stupid"; "I'm incompetent"). Such assumptions lead these individuals to appraise social or performance situations as dangerous, which in turn generates anxiety (Clark & Wells, 1995).

The anxiety and negative assumptions are maintained by a series of vicious circles. Three stages of maladaptive cognitive processing can be distinguished: (1) the *anticipatory processing phase*, during which socially anxious individuals tend to review in detail – prior to a social event – what might happen and methods they might use to deal with particular problems, and tend to recall and dwell on past social failures; (2) the *in-situation processing phase*, during which these individuals – upon entering a social interaction – shift their attention from observation of others to detailed monitoring and observation of themselves, experience negative automatic thoughts, and tend to engage in various safety behaviors, and (3) the *post-event processing phase* during which, after leaving a social situation, socially anxious people spend a good deal of time and effort reviewing the past interaction in detail or dwelling on their anxious feelings and negative self-perceptions deriving from it (Rachman, Gruter-Andrew, & Shafran, 2000).

The Clark and Wells model has gained substantial empirical support since its pub-

lication in 1995, especially in studies conducted with adult population. Thus, there is now evidence that individuals with clinical or sub-clinical levels of social anxiety appraise social stimuli negatively (Stopa & Clark, 2000; Vassilopoulos, 2006, 2011), more frequently use safety behaviors (McManus, Sacadura, & Clark, 2008) and report more negative self-related thoughts (Dodge, Hope, Heimberg, & Becker, 1988; Vassilopoulos, 2008a). Moreover, adults with high level of social anxiety report engaging more frequently in anticipatory processing or post-event processing than adults with a low level of social anxiety (Rachman et al., 2000; Vassilopoulos, 2004, 2008a).

More recent studies have suggested that the Clark and Wells model applies equally to older children and adolescents high in social anxiety (Hodson, McManus, Clark, & Doll, 2008; Ranta, Tuomisto, Kaltiala-Heino, Rantanen, Marttunen, 2013; Schreiber, Höfling, Stangier, Bohn, & Steil, 2012; Vassilopoulos, 2012). For example, Hodson et al. (2008) were among the first to examine the applicability of the cognitive model of social anxiety in a sample of 11- to 14-year-old preadolescents (N=171). Employing self-report measures, the authors found that negative social cognitions, safety behaviors, self-focused attention, and pre-and post-event processing were all significant predictors of children's social anxiety, over and above other childhood mental disorders, such as depression. Similarly, Vassilopoulos (2008a) and Schmitz, Krämer, Blechert, and Tuschen-Caffier (2010) both demonstrated that older children with high levels of social anxiety or social anxiety disorder (SAD) also engage in anticipatory or post-event processing, respectively, consistent with the cognitive model of social anxiety of Clark and Wells (1995).

Linking shyness to social anxiety and the potential mediating role of cognitive biases

Shyness is a temperamental disposition that refers to wariness and discomfort in the face of social novelty and/or in situations of perceived social evaluation (Asendorpf, 1991; Rubin, Coplan, & Bowker, 2009). It can be observed very early in life (Warren, Schmitz, & Emde, 1999) and has been positively associated with the development of various internalizing difficulties in adolescence, SAD included (Degnan, Almas, & Fox, 2010; Essex, Klein, Slattery, Goldsmith, & Kalin, 2010; Lewis-Morrarty, Degnan, Chronis-Tuscano, Pine, Henderson, & Fox, 2014).

There is convincing evidence that shyness might serve as a vulnerability factor for the development of social anxiety in children. First, shyness as a biologically based temperamental trait is identifiable very early in life (Asendorpf, 1991), whereas (at least the extreme forms of) social anxiety often develops around mid-childhood (Miers, Blöte, de Rooij, Bokhorst, & Westenberg, 2013). Therefore, temperamental shyness

tends to precede the development of social anxiety. Second, although studies directly linking shyness to social anxiety are currently scarce, nevertheless, the association between social anxiety and another early emerging temperamental factor, namely, behavioral inhibition (BI), appears to be well established. For example, Claus and Blackford (2012) in their meta-analytic study found that almost half of the behaviorally inhibited children will eventually develop social anxiety disorder. Although shyness and behavioral inhibition are overlapping but conceptually distinct entities, nevertheless both terms are used to describe children who tend to withdraw from social interactions because they are afraid or anxious, and shy behavior is one of the typical characteristics of the inhibited children (Kagan & Snidman, 1999). Therefore, we have reasons to expect that temperamental shyness might also be associated with a heightened risk for the development of social anxiety later in childhood.

If shyness does lead to social anxiety, it is important to explore whether this link is a direct one or rather mediated by a third variable. Indeed, there is emerging evidence that some of the cognitive biases implicated in the development and maintenance of social anxiety (such as attention and interpretation biases –see Clark & Wells, 1995) might play this mediating role in the link between shyness and social anxiety in late childhood. Firstly, Miers et al. (2013) carried out a longitudinal study demonstrating that a burst in social anxiety levels occurs in children around the ages of 9 to 10 years. Crucially, the tendency to negatively interpret ambiguous social cues was strongly related to trajectories of social anxiety. Secondly, there are a couple of studies indicating that early temperamental traits (e.g., BI) may be responsible for the development of certain maladaptive cognitive processes, which (processes) in turn are supposed to play an important role in supporting the expression of anxious behaviors in both children and adults. For example, in their longitudinal study, Perez-Edgar et al. (2010) found that adolescents who were behaviorally inhibited as toddlers and young children showed heightened attention bias to threat. Similarly, LoBue and Perez-Edgar (2014) carried out an experimental study and found that 4- to 7-year-olds displaying extreme temperamental shyness demonstrated a greater attention bias for threat cues (angry faces) compared to a non-shy group. These results increase the possibility that cognitive biases represent an intervening variable in a process whereby shyness can lead to subsequent anxiety.

Despite increasing focus on the role of negative thinking in the emergence and exacerbation of various socio-emotional problems in youths (Abela, Brozina, & Haigh, 2002; Silverman, LaGreca, & Wasserstein, 1995), only one study (Weeks, Ooi, & Coplan, in press) so far has investigated the potential mediating role of cognitive biases in the link between shyness and social anxiety. Weeks et al. (in press) examined the indirect effect of shyness on social anxiety through judgment biases in a sample of 686 ado-

lescents aged 10 to 14 years. They found that shyness, judgment biases and social anxiety were significantly and positively intercorrelated. Crucially, elevated judgments of the probability and cost of negative social events *partially* mediated the relation between shyness and social anxiety. Thus, there is preliminary empirical support for the mediation model "shyness \rightarrow cognitive bias \rightarrow social anxiety" in an early adolescent population.

Nevertheless, only judgmental biases were examined as an intervening variable in the link of shyness to social anxiety and no study exists that investigated other cognitive processes implicated by the Clark and Wells model in the onset and maintenance of SAD (e.g., anticipatory and post-event processing) to more fully explain the nature of the associations between shyness and social anxiety. This relative paucity of research is noteworthy in view of the accumulating evidence that the Clark and Wells cognitive model of social anxiety successfully generalizes to older children and adolescents (Schreiber et al., 2013; Vassilopoulos et al., 2017). Understanding the extent to which the processes postulated in the Clark and Wells model serve as an explanatory mechanism through which shy children and adolescents tend to experience social anxiety may assist mental health professionals in developing effective preventive interventions.

Relevant to our study is also the theoretical model postulated by Muris, Fokke, and Kwik (2009) regarding the role of repetitive negative thoughts in socio-emotional problems. According to this model, worry and rumination are two cognitive processes that emanate from the general vulnerability factor of neuroticism (a construct related to shyness – Briggs, 1988), which predisposes individuals to develop high symptoms of anxiety. Indeed, Broeren et al. (2011) found support for this model in a sample of nonclinical children aged 8-13 years. The results of their cross-sectional study showed that the cognitive functions of worry and rumination acted as partial mediators in the relationship between neuroticism and symptoms of anxiety and depression. Given the functional similarities between worry/rumination and anticipatory/post-event processing (McEvoy, Mahoney, & Moulds, 2010), one could assume, based on the Muris et al. (2009) model, that anticipatory and post-event processing might act as intermediary factors in the link between shyness and social anxiety in youths.

The present study

The aim of the present study was to explore the nature of the intercorrelations among shyness, cognitive processes advocated in the Clark and Wells (1995) model, and social anxiety. Dysfunctional social attitudes and the role of anticipatory and post-event processing as cognitive components were included to evaluate their contribution to

the link between shyness and social anxiety in youths. Based on the literature review mentioned above, we hypothesised that (a) shyness would be positively associated with social anxiety symptoms (Hypothesis 1); (b) shyness would also be associated with cognitive variables postulated in the Clark and Wells (1995) model, namely, anticipatory processing, post-event processing and negative social attitudes (Hypothesis 2); (c) all cognitive variables will be associated with symptoms of childhood social anxiety (Hypothesis 3), and (d) the association between shyness and social anxiety would be significantly reduced (partial mediation) or eliminated (full mediation) when controlling for the cognitive variables in the Clark and Wells model (Hypothesis 4).

METHOD

Participants

Three hundred and six 10 to 11-year-old children (M = 10.50, SD = 0.50) were recruited (161 girls, 145 boys) from fifth and sixth grade classes in mostly urban schools from south-western Greece, which were selected based on geographical proximity.

Measures

Social Anxiety Scale for Children-Revised

The Social Anxiety Scale for Children-Revised (SASC-R; LaGreca & Stone, 1993) is a 22-item scale assessing children's subjective feelings of social anxiety (and its correlates, such as avoidance and inhibition) in the context of various interpersonal situations. It contains 18 descriptive self-statements (e.g., "I worry that other children don't like me") and four filler items reflecting children's activity preferences (e.g., "I like to play sports"). In the original study using the SASC-R, children were asked to rate how true each statement was for him or her on a 5-point Likert-type scale. However, in the present study a 3-point scale, 0 = never true, 1 = sometimes true, 2 = always true, was used to make it more straightforward for children. Satisfactory psychometric properties of the 5-point SASC-R (e.g., internal consistency, discriminant and convergent validity, test-retest reliability) have been demonstrated in numerous elementary school samples (e.g., La Greca, Dandes, Wick, Shaw, & Stone, 1988; La Greca & Stone, 1993). Each child's social anxiety score was obtained by summing across items. The SASC-R showed good internal reliability in the current sample ($\alpha = .83$).

Children's Shyness Questionnaire

The Children's Shyness Questionnaire (CSQ; Crozier, 1995) is a 26-item self-report measure of shyness (e.g., "I am usually shy in a group of people"). The items were scored on a 3-point scale, 0 = never, 1 = sometimes, 2 = always. Items 9, 10, 15, 16, and 23 were worded in the opposite direction and thus were reverse-scored. The reliability and validity of the CSQ are well established (Crozier, 1995; Spooner & Evans, 2005). In the present sample Cronbach's alpha was good ($\alpha = .83$).

Social Attitudes Questionnaire (SAQ)

Children's social attitudes were measured with the Social Attitudes Questionnaire (SAQ; Clark, 1995). SAQ is a 49-item measure developed to assess dysfunctional socially phobic attitudes (e.g., "I must not show signs of weakness to others"). The wording was adjusted to fit the developmental level of the sample. Each item is answered on a 1 (totally agree) to 7 (totally disagree) rating scale. Items worded in the opposite direction were reverse-scored so that low scores on this measure indicate more dysfunctional socially phobic attitudes. The good psychometric characteristics were confirmed in our sample (Cronbach's $\alpha = .87$) and in another youth sample (Schreiber et al., 2012; Cronbach's $\alpha = .88$).

Anticipatory Processing Questionnaire

The Anticipatory Processing Questionnaire (APQ; Vassilopoulos, 2008b) is a 17-item measure developed to assess the extent to which children engage in ruminative cognitive processes in anticipation of an anxiety-provoking situation. Sample items include "Did you start thinking about the event before it had happened?" and "Did you try to stop thinking about the event (before it happens)?" For each item, children judged the amount of ruminative thinking they would engage in when anticipating a recent sporting activity on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (not at all) to 4 (very much). Cronbach's alpha was .81 in our study. The APQ was chosen because it is the only validated measure of anticipatory processing in a non-clinical child population (Vassilopoulos, 2008a).

Post-event processing

Children completed nine questions assessing post-event processing (PEP; Rachman et al., 2000). They were asked how much they were thinking of the anxiety-provoking situation after it was over on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (not at all) to 4 (very much). Sample items include: "After the event was over, did you find yourself thinking about it a lot?"

and "Did you find it difficult to forget about the event?" Questions were based upon those used by Rachman et al. (2000): however, four items from the original scale were omitted (e.g., the item "If you thought about the event, did you see it from your point of view, or how other people would view it?") and the wording of the rest of the items was slightly adjusted to fit the developmental level of the sample (e.g., "Did you try to resist thinking about the event" was made "Did you try to stop thinking about the event"). Items were summed to yield an estimate of post-event processing. This measure was chosen because, at the time this study was designed, it was the only validated measure of post-event processing in socially anxious people. Cronbach's alpha was good ($\alpha = .81$) in our study.

Procedure

The administration of the questionnaires took place from November 2015 to January 2016. School administrators, class teachers and their students were informed of the purpose of the study and all participating students gave oral consent prior to assessment. The questionnaires were administered during school hours. Students were first informed that participation was completely anonymous and voluntary, so that they could withdraw from the study at any time without giving any explanation (no student declined to participate). They were then asked to complete a set of five questionnaires as well as to answer a few demographic questions regarding age, gender, and grade. During assessment, the investigator circulated in the classroom and assisted as needed. Finally, students returned the set of questionnaires to the investigator after completion and were thanked for their participation. The average completion time for all the questionnaires was about 40 minutes.

RESULTS

Preliminary analyses

Table 1 presents descriptive statistics and correlations between the study variables. Consistent with expectations, shyness, anticipatory processing, post-event processing, social attitudes and social anxiety were all significantly intercorrelated in the expected directions (recall that low scores on social attitudes indicate more dysfunctional socially phobic attitudes). Girls were not significantly higher than boys in any of the variables, ts < .5). Thus, gender was not examined further in subsequent analyses.

Multiple mediator analysis

Table 1. Descriptive statistics and correlations among study variables

	1	2	3	4	5	6	M	SD
1. Gender	_	06	04	03	04	.04	_	_
2. SASC-R			.72***	52***	.41***	.39***	11.4	6.0
3. CSQ			_	42***	.49***	.41***	17.0	7.8
4. Soc Att				_	25***	28***	223.9	32.9
5. Ant Proc						.72***	38.1	9.0
6. PE Proc						_	19.0	6.1

Note: Gender dummy-coded (0 = female, 1 = male); SASC-R = Social Anxiety Scale for Children-Revised; CSQ = Children's Shyness Questionnaire; Soc Att = Social Attitudes Questionnaire; Ant Proc = Anticipatory Processing; PE Proc = Post-Event Processing.

*** p < .001.

We conducted a multiple mediator analysis to examine whether social attitudes, anticipatory processing and post-event processing jointly mediated the relationship between shyness and social anxiety. This analysis also tested which of the putative mediators remained uniquely associated with social anxiety after controlling for shyness, and which of these variables uniquely mediated the relationship between shyness and social anxiety. We examined (i) the significance of the overall indirect effect, and (ii) the significance of the individual indirect effects through each of the putative mediators, using Preacher's PROCESS macro (Hayes, 2013) with 10,000 resamples. This macro uses bootstrapped standard errors to construct 95% confidence intervals for (i) the magnitude of the overall indirect effect and (ii) the magnitude of the individual indirect effects, where significance is indicated by the relevant confidence interval not spanning zero. Results of this model are presented in Table 2 and Figure 1.

In the multiple mediator model, anticipatory processing, $\beta = .02$, p = .76, and postevent processing, $\beta = .07$, p = .20, were not significantly and uniquely associated with social anxiety after controlling for shyness. However, social attitudes were uniquely

Table 2. Summary of multiple mediator regression model predicting social anxiety

Effect	В	SE(B)	t	р	Boot 95% CI
Shyness => Social Anxiety (total)	0.557	0.031	18.12	< .001	
Shyness => Social Attitudes	-1.781	0.219	8.13	< .001	
Shyness => Post Event Processing	0.318	0.041	7.81	< .001	
Shyness => Anticipatory Processing	0.565	0.058	9.83	< .001	
Social Attitudes => Social Anxiety	-0.045	0.008	-5.93	< .001	
Post Event Processing => Social Anxiety	0.070	0.055	1.29	.20	
Anticipatory Processing => Social Anxiety	0.012	0.038	0.31	.76	
Shyness => Social Anxiety (direct)	0.447	0.036	12.58	< .001	
Shyness => Social Anxiety (indirect)	0.110	0.025			[0.064, 0.161]

associated with social anxiety, $\beta = -.25$, p < .001, after controlling for shyness, which remained significantly associated with social anxiety, $\beta = .58$, p < .001. Bootstrapping of the standard errors for the indirect effects revealed that the joint indirect effect between shyness and social anxiety via the three mediators was statistically significant, 95% CI [.064, .161]. When examining the indirect effects individually, there was a significant unique effect from shyness to social anxiety via social attitudes, 95% CI [.051, .120], but there was no significant unique effect from shyness to social anxiety via either anticipatory processing, 95% CI [-.039, .057] or post-event processing, 95% CI [-.015, .064]. Thus, although the cognitive variables were all significantly associated with one another and with social anxiety, only social attitudes uniquely mediated the relationship between shyness and social anxiety. Notably, this statistically significant mediated effect was only partial: only 20% of the association between shyness and social anxiety was mediated by the three cognitive variables.

DISCUSSION

The purpose of the present study was to explore the nature of the inter-associations




Figure 1. Multiple mediator model examining the indirect effects of shyness on social anxiety through the cognitive processes described in the Clark & Wells model. The two associations presented for the relationship between shyness and social anxiety provide the total effect followed by the direct effect.

between shyness, social anxiety and some of the cognitive variables described in the Clark and Wells (1995) model. Specifically, we examined the indirect effect of shyness on social anxiety through negative social attitudes, anticipatory processing and postevent processing in early adolescence. The main results can be summarized as follows. First, shyness was significantly associated with social anxiety, which supports Hypothesis 1 and is in accordance with previous research showing these associations in childhood and adolescence (Brouzos, Vassilopoulos, & Moschou, 2016; Coplan et al., 2013; Huan, Ang, & Chye, 2014; Karevold, Ystrom, Coplan, Sanson, & Mathiesen, 2012; Weeks et al., in press).

Second, shyness was related to negative social attitudes, as well as to anticipatory or post-event processing, as Hypothesis 2 predicted, supporting past studies which found that shy children and adolescents may be susceptible to developing maladaptive cognitive processes such as biased attention to threat (LoBue & Pérez-Edgar, 2014; Pérez-Edgar et al., 2010; Wichman, Coplan, & Daniels, 2004). These types of associations are important in themselves because all three cognitive variables (i.e., anticipatory processing, post-event processing and dysfunctional social attitudes) have been implicated in the onset and maintenance of debilitating social anxiety (Clark & Wells, 1995; Wong, 2016), providing further support for the notion that both shyness and cognitive biases play significant roles in the development and exacerbation of social anxiety symptoms.

Third, indices of childhood social anxiety were found to be associated with all indices of maladaptive cognitive processes described by the Clark and Wells (1995) model, as Hypothesis 3 predicted. This is also in line with accumulating evidence indicating the model's generalization to children and adolescents (Ranta et al., 2013; Schreiber et al., 2012; Vassilopoulos, Brouzos, Moberly, Tsorbatzoudis, & Tziouma, 2017).

Fourth, and most importantly, negative social attitudes, but not anticipatory or postevent processing, was a uniquely important intermediary factor in the relationship between shyness and social anxiety. This offers partial support for the hypothesized mediation model (see Hypothesis 4). This finding not only further supports the notion
that biased cognitions are involved in the development and maintenance of socioemotional problems in children and adolescents (see Muris, 2010 for a review), but
also indicates that distorted thinking in young people seems to play a similar role in
the vulnerability for such symptoms as in adults. According to the Clark and Wells (1995)
model, negative assumptions about the self and others (e.g., "I am incompetent", "Others will find fault with me") are particularly problematic because they can set in motion further maladaptive processes such as negative automatic thoughts ("I can't do
it"), biased attentional processes, and biased perception of ambiguous social cues ("s/he
is trying to avoid me"). Negative assumptions can also lead to a dramatic increase in

self-preoccupation before a social situation, which in turn, predisposes the individual either to avoid the stressful activity or endure it with intense anxiety, expecting failure and disapproval (Clark & Wells, 1995; Wells & Clark, 1997). Therefore, these results could offer a conceptual pathway whereby shyness leads to cognitive biases, which, in turn, lead to social anxiety.

As mentioned above, anticipatory and post-event processing were not found to uniquely mediate the link between shyness and social anxiety, as it was the case with negative social attitudes. These nonsignificant findings contrast with the results reported by Broeren et al. (2011), in which worry and rumination both acted as partial mediators in the relation between neuroticism and symptoms of anxiety. It is difficult to account for the pattern of results observed here. One possibility is that they reflect the more central role for negative social attitudes on social anxiety that is not shared with post-event processing or anticipatory processing. Indeed, as mentioned above, a core unique characteristic of socially anxious individuals is their perception of self as being deficient or contrary to perceived societal expectations or norms (Clark & Wells, 1995; Moscovitch, 2009).

Another possibility is that the operation of both anticipatory and post-event processing requires higher-order thinking such as metacognitive skills and executive functioning (EF) that are not fully developed until mid-adolescence (Baird & Fugelsang, 2004). However, this explanation seems less likely in view of the increasing evidence that both repetitive thinking styles are already discernible in early adolescence and are also possibly linked to symptoms of social anxiety in youths (Schmitz, Krämer, Blechert, & Tuschen-Caffier, 2010; Vassilopoulos, 2008a). Alternatively, it may be that the measures used in the present study failed to capture the problematic repetitive processes described by Clark and Wells (1995). Both APQ and PEP scales are rather generic, unifactorial self-report measures, in the sense that they mainly measure the extent to which individuals tend to engage in repetitive thinking before or after a socially distressing event. Nevertheless, it has been argued that it is not only the extent to which one engages in them, but also the content (along with the processing mode; Watkins, Moberly, & Moulds, 2008) of anticipatory and post-event processing that plays a role in the maintenance of social anxiety symptoms (Vassilopoulos, 2004, 2005).

In addition, there is recent evidence suggesting that both repetitive thinking styles are better conceptualized as including more than one factor (Mills, Grant, Lechner, & Judah, 2013; Wong, 2015). Future studies might further investigate the issue using more comprehensive scales that better differentiate between different components within each repetitive negative thinking style (e.g., The Anticipatory Social Behaviours Questionnaire; Mills et al., 2013) and across a broader age range, spanning from childhood to late adolescence. Finally, it is important to note that anticipatory processing and

post-event processing were highly correlated, sharing over 50% of their variance. Much of this shared variance, which represents repetitive thinking style, is likely to overlap with anxiety (Fresco, Frankel, Mennin, Turk, & Heimberg, 2002; McLaughlin, Borkovec, & Sibrava, 2007). Inclusion of anticipatory processing and post-event processing in the model may therefore make it difficult to detect unique mediating relationships for either of them individually. A composite of these variables (representing rumination) may uniquely mediate the relationship between shyness and social anxiety, independent of socially phobic attitudes.

Limitations of the present study

Obviously, this study has more limitations than those mentioned so far. One further limitation is that it relied on self-report questionnaire data, and thus the relationships among the study variables may have been inflated due to shared method variance or recollection bias. In addition, the present study relied exclusively on self-report and future studies should take a more comprehensive multi-method approach, including teacher and peer ratings of certain behaviors, as well as naturalistic observations. Furthermore, this research was correlational in nature, so it is difficult to draw conclusions on cause-effect relationships, although from a theoretical point of view the chain of relationships among these variables seems plausible (e.g., Broeren et al., 2011; Weeks et al., in press). Similarly, because the variables were measured simultaneously, other specifications of the mediation are possible which do not assume that the causal relationship is from shyness to the cognitive variables and then to social anxiety. For example, it may be that shyness is a mere epiphenomenon of being high in social anxiety or of engaging in unproductive thoughts about the impression one gives to others.

Consistent with the findings reported by Weeks et al. (in press), our results indicated that negative social attitudes only *partially* mediated the association between shyness and social anxiety. Although this might be an artifact of the construct overlap observed between shyness and social anxiety (they have about 50% overlapping variance), the current findings represent only one of the possible ways shyness may be associated with social anxiety in children and adolescents. There are additional cognitive processes described in the Clark and Wells model that could add exploratory value in understanding the associations mentioned above. Indeed, Clark and Wells (1995) also indicated heightened self-focused attention, the use of safety behaviors and the experience of distorted self-images as important maladaptive processes implicated in the initiation and maintenance of social anxiety. Future studies should examine a broader range of cognitive processes, and across a broad age-range, to ascertain their mediating/moderating role in the links between shyness and social anxiety.

14

Implications for intervention programs

Notwithstanding the above-mentioned limitations and provided these results will be replicated in an experimental design there could be important practical implications. Specifically, the results suggest that targeting dysfunctional social attitudes described by the Clark and Wells model could affect the trajectory from shyness to social anxiety. There is already evidence that the dysfunctional cognitive style characteristic of socially anxious individuals is not unalterable, but rather can be beneficially modified. For instance, Vassilopoulos and colleagues (Vassilopoulos, Banerjee, & Prantzalou, 2009; Vassilopoulos, Blackwell, Moberly, & Karahaliou, 2012) developed a cognitive-bias modification procedure for children with subclinical social anxiety symptoms, which was proven effective in changing their cognitive patterns, resulting in significantly reduced social anxiety levels. Thus, school-based psychoeducational interventions for temperamentally vulnerable children led by mental health professionals or teachers may be generally more effective at altering the developmental trajectories if maladaptive cognitions are specifically targeted (Melfsen et al., 2011; Vassilopoulos, Brouzos, Damer, Mellou, & Mitropoulou, 2013; Vassilopoulos, Brouzos, & Rentzios, 2014).

To conclude, negative social attitudes, when controlling for anticipatory or postevent processing, were found to partially mediate the relation between shyness and social anxiety. This result offers partial support for the mediating role of maladaptive processes described in the Clark and Wells (1995) cognitive model of social anxiety in the relation between shyness and social anxiety in early adolescence. In adult social anxiety, the model has provided a sound theoretical background from which particularly effective cognitive interventions have been derived (Clark et al., 2003, 2006). Thus, pending experimental support for causality, our results could have implications for early school prevention interventions targeting maladaptive social cognitions and extreme shyness in children.

REFERENCES

- Abela, J. R. Z., Brozina, K., & Haigh, E. P. (2002). An examination of the response styles theory of depression in third- and seventh grade children: A short-term longitudinal study. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 30, 515-527.
- American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- Asendorpf, J. B. (1991). Development of inhibited children's coping with unfamiliarity. Child Development, 62, 1460-1474.
- Baird, A. A., & Fugelsang, J. A. (2004). The emergence of consequential thought: Evidence from neuroscience. *Philosophical Transactions B: Biological Sciences*, *359*, 1797-1804.
- Briggs, S. R. (1988). Shyness: Introversion or neuroticism? *Journal of Research in Personality*, 22, 290-307.
- Broeren, S., Muris, P., Bouwmeester, S., van der Heijden, K. B., & Abee, A. (2011). The role of repetitive negative thoughts in the vulnerability for emotional problems in non-clinical children. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 20, 135-148.
- Brouzos, A., Vassilopoulos, S. P., & Moschou, K. (2016). Utilizing storytelling to promote emotional well-being of children with a distinct physical appearance: The case of children who wear eyeglasses. *The European Journal of Counselling Psychology*, *4*, 62-76.
- Chartier, M. J., Walker, J. R., & Stein, M. B. (2003). Considering comorbidity in social phobia. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology, 38, 728-34.
- Clark, D. M. (1995). *Social attitudes questionnaire*. Unpublished manuscript. Oxford, UK: Department of Psychiatry, Oxford University.
- Clark, D. M., Ehlers, A., McManus, F., Hackmann, A., Fennell, M., Campbell, H., & Louis, B. (2003). Cognitive therapy versus fluoxetine in generalized social phobia: A randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Journal of Consulting* and *Clinical Psychology*, 71, 1058-67.
- Clark, D. M., Ehlers, A., Hackmann, A., McManus, F., Fennell, M., Grey, N., ... Wild, J. (2006).
 Cognitive therapy versus exposure plus applied relaxation in social phobia: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 74, 568-578.
- Clark, D. M., & Wells, A. (1995). A cognitive model of social phobia. In R. G. Heimberg, M. R. Liebowitz, D. A. Hope, & F. R. Schneier (Ed.), Social phobia: Diagnosis, assessment and treatment (pp. 69-93). New York, NY: Guilford.
- Claus, J. A., & Blackford, J. U. (2012). Behavioral inhibition and risk for the development of social anxiety disorder: A meta-analytic study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child* and Adolescent Psychiatry, 51, 1066-1075.
- Coplan, R. J., Rose-Krasnor, L., Weeks, M., Kingsbury, A., Kingsbury, M., & Bullock, A. (2013). Alone is a crowd: Social motivations, social withdrawal, and socioemotional functioning in later childhood. *Developmental Psychology*, 49, 861-875.
- Crozier, W. R. (1995). Shyness and self-esteem in middle childhood. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 65, 85-95.
- Degnan, K. A., Almas, A. N., & Fox, N. A. (2010). Temperament and environment in the

- etiology of childhood anxiety. The Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 51, 497-517.
- Dodge, D. S., Hope, D. A., Heimberg, R. G., & Becker, R. (1988). Evaluation of the Social Interaction Self-Statement Test with a social phobic population. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 12, 211-222.
- Essex, M. J., Klein, M. H., Slattery, M. J., Goldsmith, H. H., & Kalin, N. H. (2010). Early risk factors and developmental pathways to chronic high inhibition and social anxiety disorder in adolescence. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 167, 40-46.
- Fresco, D. M., Frankel, A. M., Mennin, D. S., Turk, C. L., & Heimberg, R. G. (2002). Distinct and overlapping features of rumination and worry: The relationship of cognitive production to negative affective states. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, *26*, 179-188.
- Hayes, A. F. (2013). *Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach.* New York, NY: Guilford.
- Hodson, K. J., McManus, F. V., Clark, D. M., & Doll, H. (2008). Can Clark and Wells' (1995) cognitive model of social phobia be applied to young people? *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 36, 449-461.
- Huan, V. S., Ang, R. P., & Chye, S. (2014). Loneliness and shyness in adolescent problematic internet users: The role of social anxiety. *Child & Youth Care Forum*, 43, 539-551.
- Karevold, E., Ystrom, E., Coplan, R. J., Sanson, A. V., & Mathiesen, K. S. (2012). A prospective longitudinal study of shyness from infancy to adolescence: Stability, age-related changes, and prediction of socio-emotional functioning. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 40, 1167-1177.
- Kagan, J., & Snidman, N. (1999). Early childhood predictors of adult anxiety disorders. *Biological Psychiatry*, 46, 1536-1541.
- Kearney, C. A. (2006). Social anxiety and social phobia in youth: Characteristics, assessment, and psychological treatment. New York, NY: Springer.
- Kessler, R. C., Berglund, P., Demler, O., Jin, R., Merikangas, K. R., & Walters, E. E. (2005). Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 62, 593-602.
- La Greca, A. M., & Stone, W. L. (1993). The Social Anxiety Scale for Children-Revised: Factor structure and concurrent validity. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, 22, 17-27.
- La Greca, A. M., Dandes, S. K., Wick, P., Shaw, K., & Stone, W. L. (1988). Development of the Social Anxiety Scale for children: Reliability and concurrent validity. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, 17, 84-91.
- Lewis-Morrarty, E., Degnan, K. A., Chronis-Tuscano, A., Pine, D. S., Henderson, H. A., & Fox, N. A. (2014). Infant attachment security and early childhood behavioural inhibition interact to predict adolescent social anxiety symptoms. *Child Development*, 86, 598-613.
- LoBue, V., & Pérez-Edgar, K. (2014). Sensitivity to social and non-social threats in temperamentally shy children at-risk for anxiety. *Developmental Science*, 17, 239-247.
- McEvoy, P. M., Mahoney, A. J., & Moulds, M. (2010). Are worry, rumination, and post-event processing one and the same? Development of the Repetitive Thinking Questionnaire. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 24, 509-515.

- McLaughlin, K. A., Borkovec, T. D., & Sibrava, N. J. (2007). The effects of worry and rumination on affect states and cognitive activity. *Behavior Therapy*, *38*, 23-38.
- McManus, F., Sacadura, C., & Clark, D. M. (2008). Why social anxiety persists: An experimental investigation of the role of safety behaviors as a maintaining factor. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 39, 147-161.
- Melfsen, S., Kuhnemund, M., Schwieger, J., Warnke, A., Stadler, C., Poustka, F., & Stangier, U. (2011). Cognitive-behavioral therapy for socially phobic children focusing on cognition: A randomized wait-list control study. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health, 5, 5.
- Miers A. C., Blöte, A. W., de Rooij, M., Bokhorst, C. L., & Westenberg, P. M. (2013). Trajectories of social anxiety during adolescence and relations with cognition, social competence, and temperament. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 41, 97-110.
- Mills, A. C., Grant, D. M., Lechner, W. V., & Judah, M. R. (2013). Psychometric properties of the Anticipatory Social Behaviours Questionnaire. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioural Assessment*, 35, 346-355.
- Moscovitch, D. A. (2009). What is the core fear in social phobia? A new model to facilitate individualized case conceptualization and treatment. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, *16*, 123-134.
- Muris, P. (2010). Anxiety-related reasoning biases in children and adolescents. In J. A. Hadwin & A. P. Field (Eds.), *Information processing biases and anxiety: A developmental perspective* (pp. 21-46). Chichester, UK: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Muris, P., Fokke, M., & Kwik, D. (2009). The ruminative response style in adolescents: An examination of its specific link to symptoms of depression. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 33, 21-32.
- Pérez-Edgar, K., Bar-Haim, Y., McDermott, J. M., Chronis-Tuscano, A., Pine, D. S., & Fox, N. A. (2010). Attention biases to threat and behavioral inhibition in early childhood shape adolescent social withdrawal. *Emotion*, 10, 349-357.
- Rachman, S., Gruter-Andrew, J., & Shafran, R. (2000). Post-event processing in social anxiety. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 38, 611-617.
- Ranta, K., Tuomisto, M. T., Kaltiala-Heino, R., Rantanen, P., & Marttunen, M. (2013). Cognition, imagery and coping among adolescents with social anxiety and phobia: Testing the Clark and Wells model in the population. Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, 21, 252-263.
- Rapee, R. M., & Spence, S. H. (2004). The etiology of social phobia: Empirical evidence and an initial model. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 24, 737-767.
- Rubin, K. H., Coplan, R. J., & Bowker, J. C. (2009). Social withdrawal in childhood. *Annual Review in Psychology*, *60*, 141-171.
- Schreiber, F., Höfling, V., Stangier, U., Bohn, C., & Steil, R. (2012). A cognitive model of social phobia: Applicability in a large adolescent sample. *International Journal of Cognitive Therapy*, 5, 341-358.
- Schmitz, J., Kramer, M., Blechert, J., & Tuschen-Caffier, B. (2010). Post-event processing in children with social phobia. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *38*, 911-919.
- Silverman, W. K., La Greca, A. M., & Wasserstein, S. (1995). What do children worry about?

- Worries and their relation to anxiety. Child Development, 66, 671-686.
- Spooner, A. L., & Evans, M. A. (2005). Hidden shyness in children: Discrepancies between self-perceptions and the perceptions of parents and teachers. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly*, 51, 437-466.
- Stein, M., Fuetsch, M., Muller, N., Hofler, M., Lieb, R., Wittchen, H.-U. ... Kessler, R. (2001). Social anxiety disorder and the risk of depression: A prospective community study of adolescents and young adults. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 58, 251-256.
- Stopa, L., & Clark, D. M. (2000). Social phobia and interpretation of social events. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 38, 273-283.
- Szafranski, D. D., Talkovsky, A. M., Farris, S. G., & Norton, P. J. (2014). Comorbidity: Social anxiety disorder and psychiatric comorbidity are not shy to co-occur. In J. W. Weeks (Ed.), *The Wiley Blackwell handbook of social anxiety disorder* (pp. 201-222). Chichester, UK: Wiley.
- Vassilopoulos, S. P. (2004). Anticipatory processing in social anxiety. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, *32*, 285-293.
- Vassilopoulos, S. P. (2005). Anticipatory processing plays a role in maintaining social anxiety. *Anxiety, Stress & Coping, 18,* 321-332.
- Vassilopoulos, S. P. (2006). Interpretation and judgmental biases in socially anxious and nonanxious individuals. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, *34*, 243-254.
- Vassilopoulos, S. P. (2008a). Shyness and social anxiety in Greek primary school children: Prevalence and a new self-report measure of childhood anticipatory anxiety. *Psychology: The Journal of the Greek Psychological Society*, 16, 44-59.
- Vassilopoulos, S. P. (2008b). Coping strategies and anticipatory processing in high and low socially anxious individuals. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 22, 98-107.
- Vassilopoulos, S. P. (2011). Interpretation bias for facial expressions in high and low socially anxious individuals: Effects of stimulus duration. *Hellenic Journal of Psychology*, *8*, 44-65.
- Vassilopoulos, S. P. (2012). Social anxiety and memory biases in middle childhood: A preliminary study. *Hellenic Journal of Psychology*, *9*, 114-131.
- Vassilopoulos, S., Banerjee, R., & Prantzalou, C. (2009). Experimental modification of interpretation bias in socially anxious children: Changes in interpretation, anticipated interpersonal anxiety, and social anxiety symptoms. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 47, 1085-1089.
- Vassilopoulos, S. P., Blackwell, S. E., Moberly, N. J., & Karahaliou, E. (2012). Comparing imagery and verbal instructions for the experimental modification of interpretation and judgmental bias in children. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 43, 594-601.
- Vassilopoulos, S. P., Brouzos, A., Damer, D. E., Mellou, A., & Mitropoulou, A. (2013). A psychoeducational school-based group intervention for socially anxious children. *The Journal for Specialists in Group Work, 38*, 307-329.
- Vassilopoulos, S. P., Brouzos, A., Moberly, N. J., Tsorbatzoudis, H., & Tziouma, O. (2017). Generalization of the Clark-Wells model of social phobia to children's athletic and sporting situations. *British Journal of Guidance & Counselling*, 45, 1-15.

- Vassilopoulos, S. P., Brouzos, A., & Rentzios, C. (2014). Evaluation of a universal social information-processing group program aimed at preventing anger and aggressive behaviour in primary school children. *Hellenic Journal of Psychology*, 11, 208-222.
- Warren, S. L., Schmitz, S., & Emde, R. N. (1999). Behavioral genetic analyses of self-reported anxiety at 7 years of age. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 38, 1403-1408.
- Watkins, E., Moberly, N., & Moulds, M. L. (2008). Processing mode causally influences emotional reactivity. *Emotion*, *8*, 364-378.
- Weeks, M., Ooi, L. L., & Coplan, R. J. (in press). Cognitive biases and the link between shyness and social anxiety in early adolescence. *Journal of Early Adolescence*.
- Wells, A., & Clark, D. M. (1997). Social phobia: A cognitive approach. In G. C. L. Davey (Ed.), *Phobias: A handbook of theory, research and treatment.* Chichester, UK: Wiley.
- Wichman, C., Coplan, R. J., & Daniels, T. (2004). The social cognitions of socially withdrawn children. *Social Development*, *13*, 377-392.
- Wong, Q. J. J. (2015). Psychometric evaluation of the English version of the extended Post-Event Processing Questionnaire. *Anxiety, Stress, & Coping, 28*, 215-225.
- Wong, Q. J. J. (2016). Anticipatory processing and post-event processing in social anxiety disorder: An update on literature. *Australian Psychologist*, *51*, 105-113.